

effect of the restriction contained in § 829. This court does not sit to pass upon moot questions; and, as has been often pointed out, it is incumbent upon one who seeks an adjudication that a state statute is repugnant to the Federal Constitution to show that he is within the class with respect to whom it is unconstitutional, and that the alleged unconstitutional feature injures him, and so operates as to deprive him of rights protected by the Constitution. *Hatch v. Reardon*, 204 U. S. 152, 161; *Southern Railway v. King*, 217 U. S. 524, 534; *Standard Stock Food Co. v. Wright*, 225 U. S. 540, 550; *Plymouth Coal Co. v. Pennsylvania*, 232 U. S. 531, 544.

The order of the District Court should be, and it is
Affirmed.
